The potential energy of a $^{40}\text{K}$ Fermi gas in the BCS-BEC crossover
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We present a measurement of the potential energy of an ultracold trapped gas of $^{40}\text{K}$ atoms in the BCS-BEC crossover and investigate the temperature dependence of this energy at a wide Feshbach resonance, where the gas is in the unitarity limit. In particular, we study the ratio of the potential energy in the region of the unitarity limit to that of a non-interacting gas, and in the $T = 0$ limit we extract the universal many-body parameter $\beta$. We find $\beta = -0.54^{+0.05}_{-0.12}$; this value is consistent with previous measurements using $^6\text{Li}$ atoms and also with recent theory and Monte Carlo calculations. This result demonstrates the universality of ultracold Fermi gases in the strongly interacting regime.

With the emergence of novel Fermi gas systems, experimentalists can now access the Bardeen Cooper Schrieffer (BCS)-Bose-Einstein Condensate (BEC) crossover in ultracold gases of atoms. Using atomic scattering resonances in gases of $^{40}\text{K}$ and $^6\text{Li}$, it is possible to widely tune the interatomic scattering length, $a$, and move continuously between a gas of weakly interacting fermions and a gas of condensed molecules. The BCS-BEC crossover occurs in the strongly interacting regime where the scattering length is large enough that $-1 \lesssim 1/k_F a \lesssim 1$, where $k_F$ is the Fermi wave vector. Experimental studies of these strongly interacting Fermi systems have revealed many interesting properties including a phase transition involving condensates of atom pairs [1, 2], a pairing gap [3], and vortices in a rotating gas [4].

As a gas of fermions is cooled from the classical regime to quantum degeneracy, the Pauli exclusion principle becomes manifest in the properties of the ultracold gas [5, 6]. For example, a zero-temperature Fermi gas in a confining potential has a finite energy and a finite size due to Fermi pressure, which is responsible for the stability of white dwarf and neutron stars. As the two-body scattering length is tuned to be arbitrarily attractive, one would expect that the gas should be compressed due to attractive interactions and pairing effects [7, 8].

This behavior has received theoretical consideration and should not depend on the details of the interatomic potential for a wide Feshbach resonance, where the Fermi energy is much smaller than the energy equivalent width of the resonance [3, 11, 11, 12]. Furthermore, at resonance, where the two-body scattering length $a$ diverges, the energy of the gas is expected to be universal [13, 14, 15] in that it depends only on the Fermi energy $E_F$ and the relative temperature $T/T_F$. The density profile of a trapped $T = 0$ unitarity limited gas is then expected to be simply a rescaled version of the non-interacting density profile. This results in a simple rescaling of the size and energy, which can be parameterized by a universal many-body parameter $\beta$ [16, 17].

Experimentally, $\beta$ has only been reported for $^6\text{Li}$ where the size and energy of a trapped gas has been examined [8, 16, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22]. The most precise determination, $\beta = -0.54^{+0.05}_{-0.12}$, was reported recently in Ref. [21]. While this value is in good agreement with the predicted value, a measurement using a different atomic species is essential to demonstrate the universality of strongly interacting Fermi gases. Here we report on a measurement of the potential energy at resonance for an ultracold gas of $^{40}\text{K}$. We have also measured the potential energy throughout the strongly interacting regime and investigated the temperature dependence of the potential energy at resonance.

For these experiments we cool a gas of fermionic $^{40}\text{K}$ atoms to ultracold temperatures using previously described methods [23]. A nearly equal mixture of the two lowest energy hyperfine spin states, $\{f, m_f\} = \{9/2, -9/2\}$ and $\{9/2, -7/2\}$, is confined in a crossed beam optical dipole trap. The trap consists of a horizontal laser beam parallel to $\hat{z}$ with a $\frac{\pi}{2}$ radius of 32 $\mu$m and a vertical beam parallel to $\hat{y}$ with a $\frac{\pi}{2}$ radius of 200 $\mu$m. For the experiments reported here the harmonic trap frequencies were typically $\omega_r/2\pi = 184$ Hz and $\omega_z/2\pi = 18$ Hz. Approximately $10^5$ atoms per spin state are cooled to a final temperature of $T \approx 0.08T_F$, where $T_F = E_F/k_B$ is the Fermi temperature and $k_B$ is Boltzmann’s constant.

The final evaporative cooling of the gas occurs on the BCS side of the magnetic-field Feshbach resonance where $a \approx -1000 a_0$. The optical trap is then ramped up to approximately 1.5 times of the shallowest trap depth used for evaporation. To vary $a$, the magnetic field is adiabatically ramped to various final values. The optical trap is then suddenly switched off and the gas is allowed to expand for 1.867 ms. During this short expansion time there is significant expansion in the radial direction but negligible expansion of the cloud in the axial direction. We then use absorption imaging to probe the density distribution of the atom cloud. The probe beam propagates along one of the radial directions, $\hat{x}$, and is pulsed on for 40 $\mu$s. For each absorption image we perform a 2D fit to a finite temperature Fermi-Dirac function

$$OD(y, z) = pk g_2 \left( -\frac{\zeta}{\sigma_y \sigma_z} \right) g_2(-\zeta)$$

where $\zeta$, $\sigma_y$, $\sigma_z$, and $pk$ are independent fitting parameters.
It is useful to normalize the measured potential energy of the strongly interacting gas to that of an ideal (non-interacting) Fermi gas. In previous experiments using $^{6}$Li atoms, the measured cloud sizes and energies were normalized to a calculated value for the non-interacting gas. This can introduce systematic errors because the calculation relies on the atom number and trap frequencies, which can have systematic errors. However, for the $^{40}$K Feshbach resonance, we are able to reduce the systematic uncertainty by measuring the potential energy of the non-interacting gas. This can be accomplished by going to the zero crossing of the resonance where $a = 0$, which is only 10 G away. The measured potential energy ratio for an ultracold $^{40}$K gas is displayed in Fig. 1 as a function of $1/kF_a$. In this paper we use a superscript naught (0) to denote measurements made in the non-interacting regime. The inset to Fig. 1 focuses on the strongly interacting region near the resonance. As expected, the data show that the interactions cause a strong reduction in the potential energy due to a compression of the trapped gas. One would expect that on the BEC side of the resonance $E_{pot}$ will depend on condensate fraction. For temperatures similar to these experiments we find a maximum condensate fraction of approximately 15% on resonance; this fraction decreases as detuning from the resonance is increased [1].

The error bars in Fig. 1 include statistical uncertainty in repeated measurements as well as an uncertainty due to heating during the magnetic-field ramps. The magnetic-field ramps must be sufficiently slow to be adiabatic; however, heating during the ramp can be a problem for slower ramps. For the different final magnetic fields we investigated the dependence of the measured potential energy on the duration of the linear ramp. An example of this is shown in Fig. 2 where the gas was ramped from the magnetic field used for evaporation ($203.39 \pm 0.01$ G) to the resonance position.

To determine the optimum ramp rate, as well as the effect of heating on the potential energy measurement, we fit data such as that shown in Fig. 2 to an exponential decay plus linear heating. From the fit we determine the final potential energy of the cloud if heating were not present. This introduces a correction that is applied
to the data shown in Fig. 1. Note that on the BCS side of the resonance we see little or no heating due to magnetic-field ramps, and the error bars are dominated by shot-to-shot statistical uncertainty.

We can gain some theoretical insight into the effect of interactions on the energy of our trapped gas by considering a simple mean-field approach. While this approach neglects pairing and therefore is not sufficient to fully understand the behavior of our gas, it provides a flavor of how the potential energy is affected by interactions. Following the argument outlined in Ref. [17], the equation of state for an ideal zero-temperature Fermi gas is

$$\mu = \epsilon_F(x) + U_{MF}(x) + U_{\text{trap}}(x), \quad (3)$$

where \(\mu\) is the chemical potential, \(\epsilon_F(x)\) is the local Fermi energy, \(U_{MF}(x)\) is the mean-field contribution, and \(U_{\text{trap}}(x)\) is the trapping potential. We can relate \(\epsilon_F(x) = \frac{-\hbar^2}{2m}k_F^2(x)\) to the density \(n(x) = \frac{1}{\pi a_F^2}k_F^2(x) \ln \frac{\hbar k_F}{m}\). The interactions appear in the density dependent mean-field contribution, \(U_{MF}(x) = \frac{4\pi\hbar^2}{m}n(x)\). This equation can be solved self-consistently to determine the in-trap density profile of the cloud, and thus the potential energy per atom. In Fig. 1 we compare the data to the mean-field calculation (solid line) for the normal state on the BCS-side of the resonance [23]. Near the resonance, in the strongly interacting regime, it is clear that this approximation breaks down and a more sophisticated theory is required.

Very near the resonance the scattering length \(a\) diverges and the equation given above for \(U_{MF}(x)\) becomes unphysical. At resonance (\(1/k_F a = 0\)), the only energy scale is the Fermi energy; this give us an approximate effective scattering length \(a_{\text{eff}} = -1/k_F\). This substitution shows that the local mean-field energy is proportional to the Fermi energy, and one can define a constant of proportionality \(\beta\) given by \(U_{MF}(x) = \beta \epsilon_F(x)\) [8, 12]. In this simple mean-field estimate, \(U_{MF}(x) = -\frac{4\pi\hbar^2}{m} \beta \epsilon_F(x)\), or \(\beta_{MF} = -0.41\). The negative sign for the scattering length is not obvious from this approach, but a more sophisticated many-body approach shows the mean-field interaction should be attractive [13]. Now we can write Eq. (3) as

$$\mu = (1 + \beta)\epsilon_F(x) + U_{\text{trap}}(x). \quad (4)$$

Solving for the density profile for a harmonic trap and then integrating to find the energy per particle, one finds that the potential energy of a \(T = 0\) gas in the unitarity limit is simply \(E_{\text{pot}} = \frac{3}{2}\mu\), just as in the case of a non-interacting Fermi gas. To find the ratio of the chemical potential at resonance \(\mu\) to that for a non-interacting gas \(\mu^0\), we hold the number constant for each case, \(N = \int n^0(x)d^3x = \int n(x)d^3x\), to find

$$\frac{\mu}{\mu^0} = \sqrt{1 + \beta}. \quad (5)$$

Thus, the universal parameter \(\beta\) can be extracted by measuring the ratio of the potential energy at resonance to the potential energy of a non-interacting, trapped Fermi gas, in the \(T = 0\) limit.

From the data in Fig. 1 we find \(E/E_0 = 0.70 \pm 0.02\) on resonance giving \(\beta^* = -0.51 \pm 0.03\). Whereas \(\beta\) is normally only defined for \(T = 0\), we introduce (*) to denote that the system is at a finite temperature \((T/T_F)^0 = 0.08 \pm 0.01\). This experiment was conducted at the Feshbach resonance and the error bars include statistical error as well as the heating effects mentioned above. Including uncertainty in the resonance position we find \(\beta^* = -0.51^{+0.04}_{-0.12}\).

We now consider experimentally the question of whether the gas is sufficiently cold to be in the \(T = 0\) limit as required for an accurate determination of \(\beta\). In Fig. 3 we show the measured potential energy ratio as a function of \((T/T_F)^0\). In this experiment, we heat the gas by parametrically modulating the optical trap strength. However, before heating the gas, we first increase the optical trap depth to prevent number loss and ensure harmonic confinement. Both the resulting temperature \((T/T_F)^0\) and \(k_F^2\) are determined using an ultracold cloud prepared as described above and slowly ramping the magnetic field to the point where \(a = 0\) just before the trap is turned off. The gas is allowed to expand freely for 14 ms and fit according to Eq. (1). We extract \((T/T_F)^0\) from the fugacity \(\zeta\) of the non-interacting cloud using \(g_2(-\zeta) = -(T/T_F)^{-3/2}\). It is important to note that in
this experiment we expect the magnetic-field ramps to keep the entropy constant but not the temperature.

The data in Fig. 3 clearly show that the universal many-body parameter $\beta$ depends strongly on temperature. Furthermore, it is not clear that a gas at $(T/T_F)^0 = 0.08$ is sufficiently cold to determine the $T = 0$ limit of $\beta$. For the purpose of extrapolating to zero temperature, we fit a quadratic function to the data points below $(T/T_F)^0 = 0.25$ for which we find $\beta = -0.54^{+0.05}_{-0.12}$. The error bars reflect the uncertainty in the extrapolation to $T = 0$ and the uncertainty in the resonance position. This value of the universal many-body parameter $\beta$, as well as the value at $(T/T_F)^0 = 0.08$, is in good agreement with Monte Carlo calculations and recent theoretical calculations; in particular, we note $\beta = -0.545$ in Ref. [30]. These values are also in good agreement with multiple experimental reports in $^6$Li: $\beta = -0.73^{+0.12}_{-0.09}, -0.61 \pm 0.15, -0.49$, and $-0.54 \pm 0.05$ in Refs. [34], [20], [22], and [21] respectively. We also note that from the kinetic energy measurement in $^{40}$K reported in Refs. [23], [35], we can extract $\beta = -0.62 \pm 0.07$ in Ref. [30]. This is in good agreement with the value of $\beta$ found using the potential energy presented in this paper.

In summary, we have studied the potential energy of a strongly interacting quantum degenerate gas of $^{40}$K Fermi atoms. At resonance limit our results are consistent with current theory as well as previous experiments in $^6$Li, thereby strengthening the theory of universality of these Fermi gas systems. Universality necessarily assumes that the s-wave Feshbach resonances in $^{40}$K and $^6$Li are wide. The question of whether or not the $^{40}$K Feshbach resonance is wide has been under debate [10], [12], [37], [38]; however, the good agreement of our results with $^6$Li provides compelling evidence that $^{40}$K is also a wide resonance. We have also measured the temperature dependence of a universal many-body parameter that could be compared to recent Monte Carlo results for the temperature dependent energy of a homogeneous Fermi gas [29], [39].
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[36] From the kinetic energy one would expect $E_{\text{kin}}/E_{\text{kin}}^0 = \frac{1}{1 + \beta^2}$.